

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,129	07/18/2003	Stuart Bunting	P0891C7	4492	
9157 7.	590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
GENENTECH, INC. 1 DNA WAY			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/03/200	DATE MAIL ED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	J		
		10/623,129	BUNTING ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address			
A SHOTHE No. 1 - Extense after Stranger of the Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. I period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replaced for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communicati ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18.	July 2003.				
'	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	awn from consideration.				
		or				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		he Examiner			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).		
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119	- · ·	-			
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document S. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Appli Ority documents have been rec	cation No			
* S Attachment	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.			
· ——	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	mary (PTO-413) ail Date			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date		nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/623,129

Art Unit: 1614

The undersigned Examiner supports the goal of the Office to advance prosecution as expediently as is reasonably possible. Cooperation is requested with respect to the timely submission of any references deemed pertinent to the present application along with Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-6 are pending.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/848814. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/623,129

Art Unit: 1614

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,935,924. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the open language of the present claims allows for the inclusion of any number of other active agents. An angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor is a conventional medicament in the treatment of congestive heart failure.

No claim is allowed.

This is a continuation of Applicants' earlier Application No. 10/288254. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office Action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a First Action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Final Action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this Final Action and the Advisory Action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the Advisory Action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1614

the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Final Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:30 AM to 7PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Chris Low, can be reached at 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phyllis G. Spivack Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

February 26, 2005